## Supervision of instructors

Henrik Skov Midtiby and Dorte Moeskær Larsen

Teaching for Active Learning, SDU 2024

hemi@mmmi.sdu.dk

## **Context: Mathematics 1 for engineers**

- One course for all students enrolled in a ba. eng. Education programme at TEK / SDU
- 350 students
- 12 study directions
- Focus on using mathematics as a language

## A typical week (for a student)

- Preparation

   Videos
   Online exercises
   Books
- Two-hour exercise class (Monday)
  - $\circ$  8 sessions
  - $\odot$  Building thinking classroom

- Two-hour lecture (Thursday) • Overview • Interactive elements
- Homework café (Thursday)
- Video handin (Sunday)

   Explain calculations
   Peer feedback

## Inspiration

#### BUTLDING THINKING CLASSROOMS in MATHEMATICS













Exercise sessions

- Two hours
- 45 students
- 2 student instructors
- 0.5 supervisor

## Instructor training

- Selecting instructors
- Interviews with instructors

   Results
   Background information
- Supervision of instructors

   Workshop in August 2024
   Working at the whiteboards
  - $\odot$  Document with exercises



## How is it going now?

- Reflections from the students • Base this on the midterm evaluation
- Interviews with instructors (pre: 3 interviews, mid: 4 interview, ...)
- Does BTC work for our setting?



#### Student assessed effect of teaching elements

### Statements from students

Midterm eval comments

Jeg kan godt lide vi starter blidt med lettere opgaver og arbejder os op på et sværere niveau gennem øvelsestimen.

At man arbejder sammen med andre studerende i løbet af øvelsetimerne, og også at det ikke er de samme hver gang, så man kan se forskellige metoder at gøre ting på, og lære mere af hinanden.

> Træning med tavlearbejde og hovedregning i øvelsestimer er godt, da man ikke så tit får mulighed for dette. Siden at publikum er noget mindre ved disse tavler tager det også meget af presset fra.

# Data from the students instructors – before the teaching

- **Positive attitude toward the teaching format**: Students appreciate the approch, seeing it as challenging but rewarding.
- Excitement and uncertainty: There's shared anticipation about how the new format will be received and whether the instructors' authority will hold.
- **Confidence in preparation**: Trust in the well-prepared material gives students confidence they'll be ready.
- Relatability through personal experience: Some students relate to the newcomers, having been in their shoes and understanding the challenges

# Data from the students instructors – after 1 month

- **Teaching and learning**: This approch, is seen as beneficial for both student engagement and understanding.
- **Challenges and growth through responsibility**: The experience of managing tasks and presenting in front of the class feels nerve-wracking for some, but also rewarding as it allows them to take on more responsibility and grow in confidence.
- **Group dynamics and peer collaboration**: Group work is praised for encouraging cross-year interaction and helping students explain concepts to one another, with some expressing the value of both giving and receiving explanations.
- Instructor support and authority: Students appreciate when instructors provide hints without giving full answers, helping them think independently.
- Uncertainty and preparation: Some express concerns about being under-prepared or not knowing how to respond to unexpected questions, but they find comfort in the experience gained over time and the guidance from more experienced peers or instructors.

## Next step...

## Would this work for the course you teach?

- How might you adapt some of Peter Liljedahl's practices to create a more engaging and dynamic learning environment in your own teaching?
- What opportunities and challenges do you foresee?